1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
10	DAVID TROUPE,		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:16-CV-05380-RJB-DWC	
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND	
13	WILLIAM SWAIN, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Plaintiff David Troupe, proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> , initiated this action		
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Presently pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Allow		
18	Leave to Amend ("Motion") and attached proposed amended complaint. Dkt. 8.		
19	Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,		
20			
21	21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is		
22			
23	earlier.		
24			

1	The Motion is Plaintiff's first motion to amend and he has not previously amended his	
2	Complaint in this case. Plaintiff's Complaint has also not been served. Therefore, Plaintiff's	
3	Motion to Amend is granted as a matter of course. See Trudeau v. Direct Marking Concepts,	
4	<i>Inc.</i> , 90 Fed.Appx 486 (9th Cir. 2003) (finding the plaintiff was allowed to amend his complaint	
5	as a matter of right when the motion to amend was filed before the defendant filed a responsive	
6	pleading).	
7	Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion is granted, and Plaintiff's proposed amended complaint,	
8	attached to his Motion, is hereby deemed filed as Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. See Dkt.	
9	8-1. The Clerk is directed to separately docket Plaintiff's proposed amended complaint (Dkt. 8-	
10	1) as Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.	
11	Dated this 6th day of June, 2016.	
12	MoMunto	
13	David W. Christel	
14	United States Magistrate Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
22 23		
24		
- '	. In the contract of the cont	